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We consider some basic principles of fluid-induced lubrication at soft interfaces. In particular, we quantify how a soft substrate changes the geometry of and the forces between surfaces sliding past each other. By considering the model problem of a symmetric nonconforming contact moving tangentially to a thin elastic layer, we determine the normal force in the small and large deflection limit, and show that there is an optimal combination of material and geometric properties which maximizes the normal force. Our results can be generalized to a variety of other geometries which show the same qualitative behavior. Thus, they are relevant in the elastohydrodynamic lubrication of soft elastic and poroelastic gels and shells, and in the context of biolubrication in cartilaginous joints.

Lubrication between two contacting surfaces serves to prevent adhesion and wear, and to reduce friction [1]. The presence of an intercalating “lubricating” fluid aids both, but gives rise to large hydrodynamic pressures in the narrow gap separating the surfaces and can thus lead to deformations of the surfaces themselves. For stiff materials such as metals, the pressure required for noticeable deformations is very large (~1 GPa) and under these conditions the lubricating fluid will exhibit non-Newtonian properties [2]. However, if these surfaces are soft, as in the case of gels and thin shells, elastohydrodynamic effects can become important when the fluid is still Newtonian since the pressure required to displace the surface is appreciably less. This type of situation is also common in mammalian joints where the synovial fluid serves as the lubricant between the soft thin cartilaginous layers which coat the much stiffer bones. Motivated by these observations, in this Letter we consider the coupling between fluid flow and elastic deformation in confined geometries that are common in lubrication problems.

As a prelude to our discussion, we consider the steady motion of a cylinder of radius $R$ completely immersed in fluid and moving with a velocity $V$, with its center at height $h_0 + R$ above a rigid surface (see Fig. 1). The dynamics of the fluid of viscosity $\mu$, and density $\rho$ are described using the Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\rho(\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) = \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} - \nabla p,$$  

(1)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0,$$  

(2)

where $\mathbf{v}$ is the 2D velocity field $(u, w)$ and $p$ is the pressure. Comparing the ratio between the inertial and viscous forces in the narrow gap having a contact length $l \sim \sqrt{R h_0}$ [3], we find the gap Reynolds number $Re_g = \frac{\rho V^2 l}{\mu} \sim \frac{\rho V^2 h_0^{3/2}}{\mu} \ll \frac{\rho V R}{\mu} = Re$, the nominal Reynolds number. The gap Reynolds number is small since $h_0 \ll R$, and we can safely neglect the inertial terms and use the Stokes’ equations (and the lubrication approximation thereof [4]) to describe the hydrodynamics. The temporal reversibility associated with the Stokes equations and the symmetry of the parabolic contact leads to the conclusion that there can be no normal force due to the horizontal motion of the cylinder. However, if there is a thin soft elastic layer on either the cylinder or the wall, the deformation of the layer breaks the contact symmetry and leads to a normal force. This then leads to an enhanced physical separation and a reduced shear so that it may be a likely cause for the low wear properties of cartilaginous joints.

Continuing our analysis in the context of a cylinder moving along a planar wall, we take the $x$ direction to be parallel to the wall in the direction of motion of the cylinder and the $z$ direction to be perpendicular to the wall; $p$ is the fluid pressure; $h$ is the distance between the solid surfaces. Guided by lubrication theory [4], we use the following scalings:

$$x = \sqrt{2h_0 RX}, \quad z = h_0 Z, \quad p = \frac{\sqrt{2R \mu V}}{h_0^{3/2}} P,$$  

(3)

$$h = h_0 H, \quad u = VU, \quad w = \frac{V }{\sqrt{2R}} W,$$  

to reduce (1) and (2) to

$$\partial_X P = \partial_Z W, \quad \partial_Z P = 0,$$  

(4)

$$\partial_X U + \partial_Z W = 0.$$  

(5)

We consider steady motion in the reference frame of the cylinder, so that the boundary conditions are

$$U(X, 0) = -1, \quad U(X, H) = 0,$$  

(6)

$$W(X, 0) = W(X, H) = 0.$$  
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Integrating (4)–(6) leads to the dimensionless Reynolds equation [5]:

$$0 = \partial_x (6H + H^3 \partial_x P).$$

(7)

Since the gap pressure is much larger than the ambient pressure, we may approximate the boundary conditions on the pressure field as

$$P(\infty) = P(-\infty) = 0.$$  

(8)

Next, we consider the deformation of the elastic layer of thickness $H_l$ that rests on a rigid support. Balance of stresses in the solid leads to

$$\nabla \cdot \sigma = 0,$$

(9)

with the stress given by

$$\sigma = G(\nabla u + \nabla u^T) + \lambda \nabla \cdot u \mathbf{I},$$

(10)

where $u = (u_x, u_y)$ is the displacement field and $G$ and $\lambda$ are the Lamé constants for the solid, which is assumed to be isotropic and linearly elastic. To calculate the increase in gap thickness $H(x)$, we use the analog of the lubrication approximation in the solid layer [6]. The length scale in the $z$ direction is $H_l$ and the length scale in the $x$ direction is $\sqrt{h_0 R}$. We take the thickness of the solid layer to be small compared to the thickness of the contact zone, $\sqrt{h_0 R} \gg H_l$, and consider a compressible elastic material, $G \sim \lambda$, to find the vertical force balance: $\partial_x u_z = 0$. The boundary condition at the solid-fluid interface is $\sigma \cdot n = -p \mathbf{n}$, so that $(2G + \lambda) u_z(x, 0) = -p(x)$. Using the zero displacement condition at the interface between the soft and rigid solid, $u_z(x, -H_l) = 0$ leads to the following expression for the displacement of the surface:

$$u_z(x, 0) = -\frac{H_l p(x)}{2G + \lambda}.$$  

(11)

The dimensionless version of the gap thickness, $h = h_0(1 + \frac{u_z(x, 0)}{H_l})$, is

$$H(X) = 1 + X^2 + \eta P(X),$$

(12)

where $\eta = \Delta h/h_0 = (\sqrt{2}R H_l \mu V) / [h_0^{5/2}(2G + \lambda)]$ is the dimensionless parameter governing the size of the deflection. Inspired by the some recent experiments [7] in a similar geometry, we consider a cylinder of radius $R = 10$ cm coated with a rubber layer ($H_l = 0.1$ cm, $G = 1$ MPa) moving through water ($\mu = 1$ mPa.s, $V = 1$ cm/s, $h_0 = 10^{-3}$ cm). Then $\eta = 10^{-2} \ll 1$, so that we may use the perturbation expansion $P = P_0 + \eta P_1$, where $P_0$ is the antisymmetric pressure distribution corresponding to an undeformed layer, and $P_1$ is the symmetric pressure perturbation induced by elastic deformation. Substituting (12) into (7) leads to the following equations for $P_0$, $P_1$:

$$\eta^0 \partial_x [6(1 + X^2) + (1 + X^2)^3 \partial_x P_0] = 0,$$

(13)

subject to the boundary conditions $P_0(\infty) = P_0(-\infty) = P_1(\infty) = P_1(-\infty) = 0$. Solving (13) and (14) yields

$$P = \frac{5X}{2(1 + X^2)} + \eta (3 - 5X^2)/(5 + X^2)^3.$$  

(15)

Then the normal force is

$$F = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P dX = \frac{3\pi}{8} \eta.$$  

(16)

In dimensional terms, $F = [(3\sqrt{2}\pi)/4]([\mu^2 V^2 H_l R^{3/2}) / [h_0^{5/2}(2G + \lambda))]$, whose scaling matches the result reported in [8], but with a different prefactor. When $\eta$ is not small, we solve (7), (8), and (12) numerically. Figure 2 shows that as $\eta$ increases the mean gap increases and its profile becomes asymmetric, resembling the profile of a rigid slider bearing, a configuration well known to generate lift forces [4]. In addition, this increase in the gap size causes the peak pressure to decrease since $p \sim (\mu V R^{1/2})/h_0^{3/2}$. These two competing effects produce a maximum lift force when $\eta = 2.06$.

The physical basis for the previous arguments can be more easily understood using scaling and therefore allows us to generalize these results to a variety of configurations involving lubrication of soft contacts (Fig. 3; Table I). Balancing the pressure gradient in the gap with the viscous stresses yields

$$\frac{p}{l} \sim \frac{\mu V}{h^2} \Rightarrow p \sim \frac{\mu V R^{1/2}}{h^{3/2}}.$$  

(17)

Substituting $h = h_0 + \Delta h$, with $\Delta h \ll h_0$, we find that the lubrication pressure is
A thick layer \((H_l \gg \sqrt{h_0} R)\) may be treated as an elastic half space. The strain scales as \((\Delta h)/\sqrt{h_0} R\) and remains appreciable in a region of size \(h_0 R\). Balancing the elastic energy \(\int G[\Delta h]/\sqrt{h_0} R^2] dV \sim G[\Delta h]/\sqrt{h_0} R^2] R h_0\) with the work done by the pressure \(p_0 \Delta h/\sqrt{h_0} R\) yields \(\Delta h\) in terms of \(p_0\). Then, (17) and (19) give

\[
\Delta h \sim \frac{\mu V R}{h_0} \quad F \sim \frac{\mu^2 V^2 R^2}{G h_0^2}. \tag{22}
\]

Finally, we consider the case of a cylindrical shell, of radius \(R\) and thickness \(h_s\), moving over a rigid substrate. Since the shell is thin it can be easily deformed via cylindrical bending without stretching. The bending strain is \((h_s \Delta h)/R^2\) so that the elastic energy \(\int G[(h_s \Delta h)/R^2] dA \sim (G h_s^2 \Delta h^2)/R^3\). Balancing this with the work done by the pressure \(p_0 (\sqrt{h_0} R)^2 \Delta h\) yields \(\Delta h\) in terms of \(p_0\). Then, (17) and (19) give

\[
\Delta h \sim \frac{\mu V R^{7/2}}{h_0^2 h_0^{1/2}} \quad F \sim \frac{\mu^2 V^2 R^{9/2}}{G h_0^2}. \tag{23}
\]

We note that the above scalings for cylindrical contacts can be trivially generalized to spherical contacts for the case of small deformations, but space precludes us from discussing these in detail.

We conclude with a discussion of how our results may be applied to the lubrication of cartilaginous joints [10,11], where a thin layer of a fluid-filled gel, the cartilage, coats the stiff bones and mediates the contact between them. Here, electrostatic effects prevent physical contact of the surfaces under high static normal loads, while elastohydrodynamic effects could enhance separation and thus reduce wear. Inspired by the treatment of cartilage using poroelasticity [10,12], the continuum description of a material composed of an elastic solid skeleton and an interstitial fluid [13], we treat the cartilage layer as an isotropic poroelastic material [14]. The gel can then be described by its fluid volume fraction \(\alpha \sim O(1)\), drained shear modulus \(G\) and drained bulk modulus \(K \sim G\), thickness \(H_l\), permeability \(k\), and interstitial fluid viscosity \(\mu\). Using dimensional reasoning, we can construct a poroelastic time scale,

\[
\text{FIG. 3. Schematic diagrams of two configurations considered on the level of scaling. (a) A soft solid coats a rigid solid where } H_l \gg \sqrt{h_0} R, \text{ i.e., the layer thickness is larger than the length scale of the hydrodynamic interaction. (b) The cylinder is replaced by a cylindrical shell.}
\]
TABLE I: Summary of scaling results for small surface deflections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Surface displacement</th>
<th>Lift force/unit length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thin layer</td>
<td>Compressible elastic solid</td>
<td>( \frac{\mu V H_{eff} R^{1/2}}{\kappa H_{eff}} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\mu V^2 H_{eff} R^{1/2}}{\kappa^2 H_{eff}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin layer</td>
<td>Incompressible elastic solid</td>
<td>( \frac{\mu V H_{eff} R^{1/2}}{\kappa^2 H_{eff}} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\mu V^2 H_{eff} R^{1/2}}{\kappa^2 H_{eff}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin layer</td>
<td>Poroelastic solid</td>
<td>( \frac{\mu V}{G} H_{eff} R^{1/2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\mu V^2}{G} H_{eff} R^{1/2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick layer</td>
<td>Elastic solid</td>
<td>( \frac{\mu V}{G} H_{0} R^{1/2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\mu V^2}{G} H_{0} R^{1/2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindrical shell</td>
<td>Elastic solid</td>
<td>( \frac{\mu V}{G} R^{1/2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\mu V^2}{G} R^{1/2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\tau_p \sim \frac{\mu H_1^2}{kK}, \tag{24}
\]

which characterizes the time for the diffusion of stress over the layer thickness \( H_1 \) due to fluid flow. Then the response of the gel is governed by the relative size of \( \tau_p \) to the time scale of the motion, \( \tau \sim \sqrt{h_0 R/V} \). If \( \tau \gg \tau_p \), the motion is so slow that the interstitial fluid plays no role in supporting the load. If \( \tau \sim \tau_p \), the fluid supports some of the load transiently, thereby stiffening the gel. Finally, if \( \tau \ll \tau_p \), the response of the gel will depend on the size of the Stokes’ length \( l_s \sim \sqrt{\tau \mu/\rho} \). If \( l_s \sim H_1 \), there is no relative motion between the fluid and the solid, and the gel behaves as an incompressible elastic solid \([15,16]\), with shear modulus \( G \). From (20) and (21), we see that the effective modulus is

\[
G_{eff} \sim p_0 H_1/\Delta h \sim \frac{l_s^2}{H_1^2} G \sim \frac{h_0 R}{H_1^3} G. \tag{25}
\]

To find the scale of the deflection and lift force, we use the same scaling analysis as for a thin compressible elastic layer but replace \( G \) with \( G_{eff}(\tau) \), so that (20) yields

\[
\Delta h \sim \frac{\mu V}{G_{eff}(\tau)} H_{eff} R^{1/2} \frac{1}{H_1^3} \quad \text{and} \quad F \sim \frac{\mu^2 V^2}{G_{eff}(\tau)} H_{eff} R^{3/2} \frac{1}{H_1^7/2}, \tag{26}
\]

where \( G_{eff} \in \{G, [(h_0 R/H_1^2)G]\} \). Inserting characteristic values \( V = 1 \text{ cm/s}, G = 10^9 \text{ g/s}^2 \text{ cm}, H_1 = 0.1 \text{ cm}, R = 1 \text{ cm}, h_0 = 10^{-4} \text{ cm}, \) and \( \mu = 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s/g} \) shows that \( \tau \ll \tau_p \), but since \( l_s \sim H_1 \) significant material stiffening is prevented. Consequently, the effective modulus is \( G \) and the scale of the deflection is

\[
\eta \sim \frac{\mu V}{G} H_{eff} R^{1/2} \frac{1}{H_1^3/2} \sim 1, \tag{27}
\]

which suggests that joints could easily operate in a parameter regime that optimizes repulsive elastohydrodynamic effects. Although our estimates are based on nonconforming contact geometries, in real joints where conforming contacts are the norm, we expect a similar if not enhanced effect.
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[2] The viscosity depends on the pressure and temperature [1].

[3] All nondegenerate (nonconforming) contacts may be approximated as a parabola in the vicinity of the contact region, in which case the gap profile \( h = h_0[1 + x^2/(2Rh_0)] \).


[9] An incompressible solid must satisfy the continuity equation \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \), which implies that \( \Delta \mathbf{u} / l \sim (\Delta h) / H_1 \).


[14] We will ignore screened electrostatic effects to leading order in the elastohydrodynamic problem.
